

United States Patent and Trademark Office

W SRCE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/749,901	12/29/2003	Gregory M. Chrysler	884.A74US1	1415	
7590 02/24/2005 Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A. P.O. Box 2938			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			CLARK, JASMINE JHIHAN B		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Minneapolis, I	Minneapolis, MN 55402		2815	TALER NOMBER	
				_	
			DATE MAILED: 02/24/200	DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding..

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/749,901	GREGORY M. CHRISLER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Jasmine J. Clark	2815			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 N	ovembe <u>r 2004</u> .				
·— · _ —					
· · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 and 16-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5 and 16-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 and 16-21 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 December 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/29/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/749,901

Art Unit: 2815

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-11 in the reply filed on 11/29/04 is acknowledged. Claims 12-15 have been canceled by the applicants.

Specification

2. Claims 1-11, 16-21 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 1, line 2, for example, change 'an electronics chip" to –an electronic chip--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of

Application/Control Number: 10/749,901

Art Unit: 2815

35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-3, 5, 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daikoku et al. (US 6,351,384 B1) in view of Reisman et al. (US 4,774,630).

Daikoku shows a structure of a device comprising a cooling plate 20 for attachment to an electronic chip, for example a semiconductor device 10, wherein the cooling plate 20 encloses a inlet plenum 34 and an outlet plenum 35, and a plurality of microchannels 21 connecting the inlet plenum 34 to the outlet plenum 35, wherein the cooling plate 20 is made of AIN which is ceramic (see column 6. lines 62-65). However, Daikoku fails to teach that the cooling plate 20 is substantially made of silicon. Reisman teaches having a substrate 1 which serves as a cooling plate made of, for example silicon, ceramic, and or other material (see column 3, lines 9). Hence, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for Daikoku to employ silicon instead of AIN, since silicon is comparable to AIN.

Concerning claims 16-18, please see the above discussion.

Telephone Inquiry Contacts

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jasmine J. Clark whose telephone number is (571) 272-1726. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

Art Unit: 2815

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jjbc/02/19/05

Jaromunefox